

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/908,963	(07/19/2001	Itshak Bergel	INTL-0603-US (P11744)	1926
21906	7590	03/30/2004		EXAMI	NER
TROP PRU	MER & I	HU, PC	SMITH, SHEILA B		
8554 KATY FREEWAY				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 100	TV 770	2.4			
HOUSTON	, IX //U	24		2681	1
				DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004	Į

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/908,963	BERGEL, ITSHAK
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sheila B. Smith	2681
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Clafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and a reply within the statutory minimum of the beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/29/03	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 27-30 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,9-13,15,16 and 22-26 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,7,8 and 14-21 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject t	hdrawn from consideration. e rejected. o.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	B) Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4,9,12, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hottinen et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 2002/0105961).

Regarding claims 1,12, Hottinen et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Hottinen et al. discloses a transmit diversity method and system, in addition Hottinen et al. discloses a determining for a channel, channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraph 0043) from both first channel estimation terms derived from first common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0077) and second channel estimation terms derived from second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0077); and enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraphs 0077) and exhibited in figure 3.

Art Unit: 2681

Regarding claims 2, Hottinen et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Hottinen et al. discloses a transmit diversity method and system, in addition Hottinen et al. discloses a predicting a future state of the channel at a specified time based on the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraph 0043).

Regarding claims 3, Hottinen et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Hottinen et al. discloses a transmit diversity method and system, in addition Hottinen et al. discloses a storing the first and second channel estimation terms in order to determine the channel prediction terms in response to the first and second common pilot channel signals respectively (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Regarding claims 4, 9, Hottinen et al. discloses all the claimed invention as set fourth in the instant application, also Hottinen et al. discloses a transmit diversity method and system, in addition Hottinen et al. discloses a adaptively calculating the channel prediction terms from the first and second channel estimation terms in one or more iterations (which reads on paragraphs 0043).

Regarding claim 6, Hottinen et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Hottinen et al. fails to specifically discloses calculating includes receiving one or more weighted values associated with one or more antennas of a plurality of antennas (which reads on paragraphs 0016) where said first common pilot channel signal is from a first antenna of the plurality of antennas and said second common pilot channel signal is from a second antenna of the plurality of antennas (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Regarding claim 10, Hottinen et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Hottinen et al. fails to specifically discloses a first estimation terms

Art Unit: 2681

correspond to a channel estimation term calculated in at least one iteration prior to a current iteration of the one or more iterations (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Regarding claim 11, Hottinen et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Hottinen et al. fails to specifically discloses the second channel estimation terms correspond to a channel estimation term calculated in the current iteration (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Regarding claim 13, Hottinen et al. discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Hottinen et al. fails to specifically discloses provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 15,16,22-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hottinen et al. in view of Komatsu (U.S. Patent Publication 2001/0046873).

Regarding claims 15,16, Hottinen discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) additionally Hottinen discloses channel prediction terms from both first channel estimation terms derived from first common pilot channel signal and second channel estimation terms derived from second common pilot channel signal (which reads on paragraphs 0077); and

Art Unit: 2681

enabling control over future transmission patterns of the channel using the channel prediction terms (which reads on paragraphs 0077) and exhibited in figure 3. However, Hottinen fails to specifically discloses a communication interface; and a processor communicatively coupled to the communication interface.

In the same field of endeavor, Komatsu discloses a mobile terminal for transmission diversity CDMA communication system. In addition Komatsu discloses the use of a communication interface (9); and a processor (20) communicatively coupled to the communication interface (9), (which reads on paragraphs 0042).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve Hottinen by modifying a transmit diversity method and system with the use of a communication interface, and a processor communicatively coupled to the communication interface, as taught by Komatsu for the purpose of saving on waste of transmit power.

Regarding claims 22-24, they disclose an apparatus corresponding to the method of claims 1-4. The apparatus is inherent in that it simply provides structure for the logical implementation found in claims 1-4.

Regarding claims 25,26, Hottinen discloses everything claimed, as applied above (see claims 1) however, Hottinen fails to specifically disclose provide feedback having the at least one weighted value of the one or more weighted values to the first and second antennas of the plurality of antennas (which reads on paragraphs 0077).

Art Unit: 2681

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 6

4. Claims 5,7-8,14,17-21, objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5. Claims 27-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2681

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheila B. Smith whose telephone number is (703)305-0104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am - 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika Gary can be reached on 703-308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Smith March 22, 2004

> TEMICA M. DAVIS PATENT EXAMINER